

D-20814

- 2 -

REMARKS

This is responsive to the official action in the above identified case, mailed April 1, 2004 requiring further restriction to a distinct species. Applicants again confirm the election with respect to the invention of the Group I claims, claims 1-13.

In response to the requirement, Applicants elect the following species:

Species A-1: as shown in Fig 2. Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 and 12 are readable thereon.

Species B-2: as shown in Fig. 2. Claim 8 is readable thereon.

Species C-3: as shown in Fig. 2. Claim 2 is readable thereon.

Species D-1: as shown in Fig. 2. On this part of the requirement, there is no claim that is readable on the specific aspects disclosed in Species D-1. Claim 2 in a general sense is readable on such species in that it recites a first exchanger, but, as stated previously, the elements of the specific design of the first heat exchanger mentioned in connection with Species D-1 was never claimed.

Prompt and favorable action is therefore solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



David M. Roschblum
Attorney for Applicants
Reg. No. 29,341

Danbury, Connecticut 06810
(203) 837-2116
April 29, 2004
D-20814